

Financial Distress Analysis to Predict Company Bankruptcy Using the Modified Altman Method

Muhammad Ilyas^{*1}, Ema Sari², Fitriana Umar³ Institut Agama Islam Negeri Palopo, Indonesia^{*1}, Institut Kesehatan dan Bisnis Kurnia Jaya Persada, Indonesia²³ Muh.ilyas039@gmail.com^{*1}, emsar2324@gmail.com², fitrianafu26@gmail.com³

Abstract: Financial distress is a condition where the company experiences a decline in its financial condition before bankruptcy. To avoid this condition, the company must pay attention to its financial condition both in terms of its balance sheet and income statement using financial statement analysis techniques, such as the Altman model. The purpose of this study is to analyze the occurrence of Financial Distress to predict company bankruptcy using the Modified Altman method using 3 classification categories namely safe, gray, and distress. A quantitative approach was used in this study with a total population of all companies listed on the JII and the sample selection using a purposive sampling technique so that a sample of 13 companies are consistently in the Safe category for 5 years with a cut-off value> 2.9. Then, if analyzed as a whole, the mean value obtained from all companies is 5.7688> 2.9. This value illustrates that on average all companies listed on the Jakarta Islamic Index in 2018-2022 are in the safe category. **Keywords:** Altman; Financial Distress; Jakarta Islamic Index; Z-Score

INTRODUCTION

Economic conditions have changed quite significantly. This is mainly due to the Covid 19 pandemic and the Russia-Ukraine Invasion which has an impact on the economy in the world and Indonesia is one of them. Many companies were affected by these events and even suffered losses which led to financial distress (Fajarsari & Martini, 2022).

Financial distress is a stage where the company's financial condition decreases before reaching the bankruptcy stage (Isayas, 2021). Financial distress is closely related to liquidity. If a company experiences financial distress, the company will not be able to fulfill its obligations (Irfani, 2020). If this condition is ignored without proper handling, the company will certainly experience bankruptcy (Isdina, 2021). Some of the images that can be seen when a company experiences Financial Distress are experiencing a decrease in dividends, plant closures, losses, layoffs, and operating cash flow that cannot meet its obligations (Arifin, 2021).

Therefore, to avoid financial distress, the company can pay attention to its financial condition in terms of the balance sheet and income statement contained in the financial statements by using financial statement analysis techniques, such as the Altman Z-Score model (Oktaviani, 2020). This model or technique is a prediction model developed by Altman and from several previous studies, this model can be used to predict public companies with an accuracy rate of 82% - 95% (Idi & Borolla, 2021)

Several studies have conducted research related to financial distress using the Altman method such as Research conducted by Dian Pertiwi and Alvianita Gunawan Putri in 2021 with the title Financial Distress Prediction Analysis using the Altman Z-Score Model in Retail Companies in 2018-2020. This study uses the original Altman Z-Score model developed in 1968. The results of this study indicate that generally, these companies are in the "safe" zone for 2018 and 2019, except HERO which is in the "Grey" zone. Furthermore, in 2020, some companies are still in the "safe" zone, except for MIDI



and RALS shifting to the "Grey" zone and even HERO shifting to the "distress" zone (Pertiwi & Putri, 2021).

In addition, there was another study conducted by Nina Rismawati, Umi Nadhiroh, and Heru Sutapa in 2022 with the title Financial Distress Analysis with the Altman Z-Score Approach in Transportation Sub-Sector Companies during the Covid-19 Pandemic. The results of this study indicate that 13 companies are experiencing financial distress, 5 companies are in a vulnerable condition or Grey area, and 5 companies are in a healthy condition (Pertiwi & Putri, 2021). The variables used greatly affect the calculation results of the Altman Z-Score analysis, especially if the company experiences capital deficiency, the possibility of financial distress in the company is also higher (Rismawati, Nadhiroh, & Sutapa, 2022).

This study was conducted to analyze the prediction of financial distress in companies listed on the Jakarta Islamic Index using the modified Altman Z-Score model. The results of this study are expected to be used by companies to anticipate financial distress to prepare strategies before financial distress occurs.

METHODS

The type of research in this study is descriptive quantitative where this research will see and describe data in the form of numbers objectively starting from the collection process to the interpretation of the results obtained (Kharisma, 2023). This research uses secondary data. The data needed in this study are the company's financial statements obtained through the Indonesia Stock Exchange website, namely www.idx.co.id (Hikmah & Afridola, 2019). The purpose of this study is to determine the company's financial condition using the Altman method in predicting the occurrence of financial distress in the company. (Hikmah & Afridola, 2019)

This study took a population of companies listed on the Jakarta Islamic Index whose samples were obtained using a purposive sampling technique with the following criteria: Listed on the Jakarta Islamic Index, Consistently listed on the Jakarta Islamic Index for 5 consecutive years, and Complete financial reports during the study period (5 years). Based on the above criteria, the number of samples in this study was 13 companies. The sample list can be seen in the table below:

| | | - |
|------|-------------|---|
| No | Code | Company Name |
| 1 | ADRO | PT. Adaro Energy Tbk |
| 2 | AKRA | PT AKR Corporindo Tbk |
| 3 | ASII | PT Astra Internasional |
| 4 | ICBP | PT Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk |
| 5 | INCO | PT Vale Indonesia Tbk |
| 6 | INDF | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk |
| 7 | KLBF | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk |
| 8 | PTBA | PT Bukit Asam Tbk |
| 9 | SMGR | PT Semen Indonesia Tbk |
| 10 | TLKM | PT Telkom Indonesia Tbk |
| 11 | UNTR | PT United Tractors Tbk |
| 12 | UNVR | Unilever Indonesia |
| 13 | WIKA | PT Wijaya Karya Tbk |
| Sour | ce: Data tl | hat has been processed by the author (2023) |

| Table 1 | ۱. | Sample | of | Research |
|---------|----|--------|----|----------|
|---------|----|--------|----|----------|

Almana : Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis Volume 8, No. 1/ April 2024, p. 77-86 ISSN 2579-4892 print/ ISSN 2655-8327 online DOI: 10.36555/almana.v8i1.2365



The Altman method has evolved. Altman made several modifications to the formula used to predict financial distress (Kristiani, Putriana, & Fahruddin, 2022). This is because, over time, companies continue to develop. The modified Z-Score formula is considered the most flexible because it can be used to analyze various types of companies and is suitable for developing countries such as Indonesia (Cipta, 2021).

In the modified model, Altman eliminates the 5th ratio because it is a ratio that is quite varied in each company with different asset sizes (Ambarsari, 2020). The ratios used in the modified model that we will use in this study are Working Capital to Total Assets (X1), Retained Earning to Total Assets (X2), Earnings Before Interest and Taxes to Total Assets (X3), Book Value of Equity to Total Liabilities (X4) (Adnyana & Firdaus, 2020).

The steps taken in analyzing data using the Altman Z-Score method are as follows:

| No. | Steps | | Subtest |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------|---|
| 1. | Calculating Financial | Ratios | Working capital |
| | (Altman., 1968) | | Total Assets |
| | | | Retained Earnings |
| | | | EBIT |
| | | | Market Value of Equity |
| | | | Total Liabilities |
| 2. | Calculate the Z-Score (Altman, 2019) | Value | Z-Score = 6,56 X1 +3,26 X2 + 6,72 X3 + 1,05 X4 |
| | | | X1 = Working Capital to total assets |
| | | | X2 = Retained Earnings to total asset |
| | | | X3 = EBIT to total asset |
| | | | X4 = Book Value of equity to total liabilities |
| 3. | Analyzing zona area | | Distress If the Z value < 1.10, it is a bankrupt company. |
| | | | distress and is at high risk of bankruptcy. (Cipta, 2021) |
| | | | If the value of $1.10 < Z < 2.60$, it includes a Grey area (it cannot be determined whether the company is healthy or experiencing bankruptcy). In this condition, the company is experiencing financial distress but can still be handled with proper management handling. If the handling is late and inappropriate, the company can experience bankruptcy. (Fitri, 2020). |
| | | | Safe if the Z value> 2.60 (non-distress area) then it includes companies that are not bankrupt (Wahab, 2019). The company is in a healthy condition and there is very little chance of bankruptcy (Sari, Hasbiyadi, & Arif, 2020) |

Table 2. Steps Taken in Analyzing Data

Source: Steps taken in analyzing data by the Author (2023)



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3. Value Calculation X1, X2, X3, dan X4

| Code | Year | X1 | X2 | Х3 | X4 |
|------|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| ADRO | 2022 | -0,1490 | 0,3216 | 0,0419 | 0,7936 |
| | 2021 | -0,0927 | 0,0844 | 0,2489 | 0,2702 |
| | 2020 | 0,0919 | 0,0373 | 0,0903 | 1,4651 |
| | 2019 | 0,1215 | 0,0675 | 0,1336 | 1,2318 |
| | 2018 | 0,1110 | 0,0155 | 0,0321 | 1,5969 |
| AKRA | 2022 | 0,1677 | 0,3343 | 0,1564 | 0,9374 |
| | 2021 | 0,1155 | 0,3196 | 0,0975 | 0,9254 |
| | 2020 | 0,1573 | 0,3707 | 0,1096 | 0,1197 |
| | 2019 | 0,0964 | 0,2988 | 0,0000 | 0,8875 |
| | 2018 | 0,1607 | 0,3032 | 0,0779 | 0,9912 |
| ASII | 2022 | 0,1466 | 0,4381 | 0,1695 | 1,4372 |
| | 2021 | 0,1537 | 0,4447 | 0,1389 | 1,4213 |
| | 2020 | 0,1377 | 0,4395 | 0,1140 | 1,3692 |
| | 2019 | 0,0826 | 0,3979 | 0,1427 | 1,1305 |
| | 2018 | 0,0497 | 0,3693 | 0,1472 | 1,0235 |
| ICBP | 2022 | 0,1824 | 0,2539 | 0,1890 | 0,9937 |
| | 2021 | 0,1279 | 0,2293 | 0,1719 | 0,8710 |
| | 2020 | 0,1114 | 0,2174 | 0,1662 | 0,9445 |
| | 2019 | 0,2601 | 0,4766 | 0,3721 | 2,2155 |
| | 2018 | 0,2003 | 0,4361 | 0,3569 | 1,9474 |
| INCO | 2022 | 0,3068 | 0,7205 | 0,1180 | 7,7629 |
| | 2021 | 0,2659 | 0,7128 | 0,1035 | 6,7672 |
| | 2020 | 0,2312 | 0,6821 | 0,0537 | 6,8657 |
| | 2019 | 0,2032 | 0,6749 | 0,0529 | 6,9100 |
| | 2018 | 0,2068 | 0,6548 | 0,0472 | 5,9102 |
| INDF | 2022 | 0,1338 | 0,2281 | 0,1882 | 1,0784 |
| | 2021 | 0,0768 | 0,2064 | 0,1811 | 0,9425 |
| | 2020 | 0,3369 | 0,1900 | 0,8630 | 0,9421 |
| | 2019 | 0,2518 | 0,2771 | 0,8519 | 1,2906 |



| | 2018 | 0,0214 | 0,2402 | 0,2093 | 1,0706 |
|------|------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| KLBF | 2022 | 0,4507 | 0,7410 | 0,4296 | 4,2957 |
| | 2021 | 0,4035 | 0,7195 | 0,4396 | 4,8323 |
| | 2020 | 0,4386 | 0,7367 | 0,4540 | 4,2619 |
| | 2019 | 0,4266 | 0,7468 | 0,5054 | 4,6937 |
| | 2018 | 0,4607 | 0,7644 | 0,5427 | 5,3634 |
| PTBA | 2022 | 0,33313 | 0,30476 | 0,4359 | 1,7585 |
| | 2021 | 0,33273 | 0,26041 | 0,4188 | 2,0432 |
| | 2020 | 0,18672 | 0,07441 | 0,1898 | 2,3799 |
| | 2019 | 0,26778 | 0,12747 | 0,2916 | 2,4003 |
| | 2018 | 0,28146 | 0,17955 | 0,2294 | 2,0586 |
| SMGR | 2022 | 0,1710 | 0,4100 | 0,1287 | 1,4198 |
| | 2021 | 0,0190 | 0,4020 | 0,1434 | 1,1553 |
| | 2020 | 0,0520 | 0,4074 | 0,1489 | 0,8787 |
| | 2019 | 0,0553 | 0,3699 | 0,1593 | 0,7717 |
| | 2018 | 0,1525 | 0,5543 | 0,1823 | 1,7772 |
| TLKM | 2022 | -0,0557 | 0,3508 | 0,1320 | 1,1852 |
| | 2021 | -0,0283 | 0,3219 | 0,1575 | 1,1028 |
| | 2020 | -0,0914 | 0,3205 | 0,1570 | 1,0482 |
| | 2019 | -0,0752 | 0,3442 | 0,1713 | 1,1898 |
| | 2018 | -0,0145 | 0,3669 | 0,1765 | 1,3196 |
| UNTR | 2022 | 0,2626 | 0,5063 | 0,2474 | 1,5872 |
| | 2021 | 0,2675 | 0,5018 | 0,1747 | 1,7420 |
| | 2020 | 0,1961 | 0,1392 | 0,1323 | 1,5796 |
| | 2019 | 0,1370 | 0,1847 | 0,1863 | 0,9782 |
| | 2018 | 0,0590 | 0,3468 | 0,1815 | 0,9632 |
| UNVR | 2022 | -0,2661 | 1,7898 | 1,0407 | 0,2791 |
| | 2021 | -0,2518 | 0,2167 | 1,0292 | 0,2904 |
| | 2020 | -0,2205 | 0,3424 | 1,0936 | 0,3165 |
| | 2019 | -0,2196 | 0,2467 | 1,0668 | 0,3437 |
| | 2018 | -0,1058 | 0,3695 | 1,0547 | 0,8134 |
| WIKA | 2022 | 0,0466 | 0,0007 | 0,0293 | 0,3038 |
| | 2021 | 0,0031 | 0,0017 | 0,0244 | 0,3356 |



| 2020 | 0,0559 | 0,0012 | 0,0223 | 0,3237 |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 2019 | 0,1929 | 0,0279 | 0,0560 | 0,4479 |
| 2018 | 0,2583 | 0,0221 | 0,0608 | 0,4097 |

Source: Data that has been processed by the author (2023)

From the table 3 above, it can be seen that the values of X1, X2, X3, and X4 of the 13 Issuers were used as research samples for 5 years, namely 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022. The results of these calculations will be the basis for calculating the Z-Score value according to the Altman method. Below are the results of the Z-Score calculation that has been done by the author:

| Code | Year | Z-Score | Area |
|------|------|----------|------|
| ADRO | 2022 | 1,18613 | Grey |
| | 2021 | 1,62370 | Grey |
| | 2020 | 2,87054 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 3,20907 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 2,67154 | Grey |
| AKRA | 2022 | 4,22585 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 3,42717 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 3,10347 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 2,53899 | Grey |
| | 2018 | 3,60806 | Safe |
| ASII | 2022 | 5,03933 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 4,88487 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 4,53995 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 3,98594 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 3,59466 | Safe |
| ICBP | 2022 | 4,33824 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 3,65700 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 3,54891 | Grey |
| | 2019 | 8,08701 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 7,17948 | Safe |
| INCO | 2022 | 13,30637 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 11,86994 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 11,31092 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 11,14509 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 10,01501 | Safe |
| INDF | 2022 | 4,01949 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 3,38410 | Safe |

Table 4. Value of Z-Score

Submitted: August 27, 2023, 2023; Revised: -; Accepted: March 31, 2024; Published: April 30, 2024; Website: <u>http://journalfeb.unla.ac.id/index.php/almana/article/view/2365</u>



| | 2020 | 9,61862 | Safe |
|------|------|----------|----------|
| | 2019 | 9,63608 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 3,45499 | Safe |
| KLBF | 2022 | 12,77037 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 13,02122 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 12,80617 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 13,55868 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 14,79290 | Safe |
| PTBA | 2022 | 7,95453 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 7,99194 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 5,24193 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 6,65241 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 6,13495 | Safe |
| SMGR | 2022 | 4,81446 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 3,61201 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 3,59319 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 3,44997 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 5,89998 | Safe |
| TLKM | 2022 | 2,91033 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 3,08072 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 2,60061 | Grey |
| | 2019 | 3,02951 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 3,67298 | Safe |
| UNTR | 2022 | 6,70295 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 6,39423 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 4,28903 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 3,78124 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 3,74947 | Safe |
| UNVR | 2022 | 11,37645 | Safe |
| | 2021 | 6,27590 | Safe |
| | 2020 | 7,35090 | Safe |
| | 2019 | 6,89333 | Safe |
| | 2018 | 8,45233 | Safe |
| WIKA | 2022 | 0,82456 | Distress |
| | 2021 | 0,54256 | Distress |
| | 2020 | 0,86149 | Distress |
| | 2019 | 2,20406 | Grey |
| | 2018 | 2,60648 | Grey |

Source: Data that has been processed by the author (2023)



Based on the table above, it can be seen that in 2018, the majority of JII companies were in the healthy category. There are 11 of the 13 companies that are in the safe category, namely: AKRA (3,60806), ASII (3,59466), ICBP (7,17948), INCO (10,01501), INDF (3,45499), KLBF (14,79290), PTBA (6,13495), SMGR (5,89998), TLKM (3,67298), UNTR (3,74947), UNVR (8,45233) and there are only 2 companies in the gray category, namely ADRO (2,67154) and Wika (2,60648).

In 2019, almost all companies fell into the safe category. Only AKRA Company is in the gray category with a Z-Score value of 2.53899. In this condition (gray), it is hoped that management will be careful and conduct a thorough evaluation so that the Company does not experience sluggishness until it reaches the distress stage.

In 2020 there was a decrease in conditions, namely 10 companies in the safe category, 2 companies in the gray category, and 1 company in the distress category. Companies that fall into the safe category are ADRO (2,87054), AKRA (3,10347), ASII (4,53995), INCO (11,31092), INDF (9,61862), KLBF (12,80617), PTBA (5,24193), SMGR (3,59319), UNTR (4,28903), dan UNVR (7,35090). Then continued with companies that fall into the gray category, namely ICBP (3.54891) and TLKM (2.60061). Furthermore, the company that is in the most unsafe or distressed position is the WIKA Company with a Z-Score value of 0.86149.

In 2021, there are 11 companies in the safe category, namely: AKRA (3,42717), ASII (4,88487), ICBP (3,65700), INCO (11,86994), INDF (3,38410), KLBF (13,02122), PTBA (7,99194), SMGR (3,61201), TLKM (3,08072), UNTR (6,39423), UNVR (6,27590). Then, there is 1 company in the ADRO category with a Z-Score value of 1.62370. Finally, there is 1 company that is in the worst condition, namely the distress zone, namely the WIKA Company with a Z-Score value of 0.54256.

In 2022, the number of companies in the safe category is the same as the previous year, namely 11 companies, the list of companies is still the same, namely: AKRA (4,22585). ASII (5,03933), ICBP (4,33824), INCO (13,30637), INDF (4,01949), KLBF (12,77037), PTBA (7,95453), SMGR (4,81446), TLKM (2,91033), UNTR (6,70295), UNVR (11,37645). ADRO is still in a gray condition with a Z-Score value of 1.18613. In the distress position, there is WIKA with a Z-Score value of 0.82456.

When viewed as a whole, the majority of JII companies have a healthy condition. This is evidenced by the Z-Score value of several companies that have been consistent for 5 years above the Safe cut which is > 2.9. These companies are ASII, INCO, INDF, KLBF, PTBA, SMGR, UNTR, and UNVR.

The minimum value in the Altman Z-Score model was obtained by the WIKA company in 2021, which amounted to 0.54256. This minimum value explains that according to this model the company at that time was the most distressed company during the 5-year research period.

The Altman Z-Score model achieved a maximum value of 14.79290. This maximum value was obtained by the company PT KLBF in 2018. This maximum value explains that at that time the company was categorized as the safest company from financial distress conditions compared to other companies sampled in the study.

The mean value obtained by the Altman Z-Score model is 5.76884. This value illustrates that the average of all companies listed in the Jakarta Islamic Index in 2018-2022 that are sampled is in the safe area category.



CONCLUSION

The majority of JII companies have a healthy condition. This is evidenced by the Z-Score value of several companies that are consistent for 5 years above the Safe cut which is> 2.9. These companies are ASII, INCO, INDF, KLBF, PTBA, SMGR, UNTR, and UNVR. The mean value obtained by the Altman Z-Score model is 5.76884. This value illustrates that the average of all companies listed on the Jakarta Islamic Index in 2018-2022 that are sampled is in the safe area category.

REFERENCES

- Adnyana, I. M., & Firdaus, S. (2020). Prediksi Financial Distress dengan model Altman Z-Score modifikasi pada perusahaan Asuransi yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia . *Oikonomia : Jurnal Manajemen, 56.* http://dx.doi.org/10.47313/oikonomia.v16i1.1002
- Altman, E. I. (2019). Corporate Financial Distress, Restructuring, and Bankruptcy (Fourth).
- Altman., E. I. (1968). Financial Ratios, Discriminant Analysis And The Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy. *The Journal of Finance, 23*(4).
- Ambarsari, R. (2020). Analisis Financial Distress menggunakan model Altman Z-Score, Springate, Zmijewski Fulmer, dan Grover pada Perusahaan Property dan Real Estate yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2016-2019. Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, 3.
- Arifin. (2021). Manajemen Keuangan. Yogyakarta: Zahir Publishing.
- Cipta, R. S. (2021). Analisa Financial Distress menggunakan metode Altman (Z-Score) untuk memprediksi kebangkrutan (Pada perusahaan Pelayaran Terdaftar BEI 2016-2019). Jurnal Inovatif Mahasiswa Manajemen, 126.
- Fajarsari, H., & Martini. (2022). Analisis Financial Distress dengan Perhitungan Model Altman (Z-Score) pada Perusahaan Sub Sektor Hotel, Restoran dan Pariwisata selama Pandemi Covid-19. Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen, 50.
- Fitri, R. A. (2020). Pengaruh Likuiditas, Aktivitas, Profitabilitas dan Leverage Terhadap Financial Distress (Studi kasus pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2014-2018). *Jurnal Ecogen*, 134.
- Hikmah, H., & Afridola, S. (2019). Analisis Penilaian Financial Distress menggunakan model Altman (Z-Score) Perusahaan Manufaktur. *Jurnal Niara*, *11*(2), 195-202. https://doi.org/10.31849/nia.v11i2.2142
- Hikmah, & Afridola, S. (2019). Pengaruh Rasio Keuangan Altman Z-Score terhadap Financial Distres pada PT. CITRA TUBINDO, Tbk. *Jurnal Institusi Politeknik Ganesha, 5.*
- Idi, C. M., & Borolla, J. D. (2021). Analisis Financial Distress Menggunakan Metode Altman Z Score pada PT. Golden Plantation Tbk Periode 2014-2018. Jurnal Aplikasi Kebijakan Publik dan Bisnis, 107. https://doi.org/10.51135/PublicPolicy.v2.i1.p102-121
- Irfani. (2020). *Manajemen Keuangan dan Bisnis : Teori dan Aplikasi*. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Isayas. (2021). Fianncial Distress and its determinants : Evidence from Insurance Companies in Ethiopia. *Cogent Business and Management, 8* (1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1951110
- Isdina, S. &. (2021). Pengaruh Laba dan Arus Kas Terhadap Kondisi Financial Distress. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan, 9* (1). https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v9i1.490



- Kharisma. (2023). Analisis Financial Distress Menggunakan model Altman Z-Score pada PT Sido Muncul TBK Periode 2020-2022. *Manajemen Jurnal Internasional dan Ekonomi*, 2(2), 60. https://doi.org/10.56127/ijme.v2i2.852
- Oktaviani, B. H. (2020). Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Financial Distress Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jambi Accounting Reviewer*, 20-34.
- Pertiwi, D., & Putri, A. G. (2021). Analisis Prediksi Financial distress dengan menggunakan metode Altman Z-Score pada Perusahaan Ritel Tahun 2018-2020. *Jurnal KEUNIS (Keuangan dan Bisnis),* 132. http://dx.doi.org/10.32497/keunis.v9i2.2636
- Rismawati, N., Nadhiroh, U., & Sutapa, H. (2022). alisis Financial Distress Dengan Pendekatan Altman Z- Score Pada Perusahaan Sub Sektor Transportasi Di Masa Pandemic Covid 19. *Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis dan Manaejemen*, 103.
- Sari, N. R., Hasbiyadi, & Arif, M. F. (2020). Mendeteksi Financial Distress dengan model Altman Z-Score. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Humanika*, 94. https//doi.org/10.23887/jiah.v10i1.23102
- Wahab, L. O. (2019). Analisis Penerapan Metode Altman Z-Score dalam memprediksi Financial Distress pada PT. Astra International. JURNAL EKONOMI & BISNIS Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Port Numbay Jayapura, 42. https://doi.org/10.55049/jeb.v10i1.106